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***Abstract***

*Abstracts and keywords are written in English. The length of each abstract is 75-100 words with a size of 12pt, while the number of keywords is 3-5 words. The abstract contains the title, purpose, method, and results of the study. Abstracts are written in 1 paragraph with one space.*
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**INTRODUCTION**

The introduction must contain (sequentially) general background, literature review as a basis for statements of scientific novelty from the text, statements of scientific novelty, and research problems. At the end of the introduction, the purpose of the manuscript must be written. In the format of scientific manuscripts, there is no permitted literature review as in the research report, but it is manifested in the form of previous literature studies to show the scientific novelty of the manuscript. All introductory sections are explained in the paragraph integrated, with a length of 15-20% of the total length of the article.

The writing format of the introductory text to the conclusion is Time New Roman (TNR) 12, the distance between rows is one space and in 1 column. For English texts, examples are citation writing / thesis (Petro-Nustas, Tsangari, Phellas, & Constantinou, 2013), citation of journal articles (Sutoyo et al., 2016), book citations (Asmoro et al., 2009), and seminar citation / proceedings (Sutoyo et al., 2015). For English-language texts, the words "and" are changed to "and," the words "et al." are changed to "et al.".

**RESEARCH METHODS**

This part of the research method contains explanations in the form of paragraphs about research design, data sources, data collection techniques, and real analysis of researchers, with a length of 10-15% of the total length of the article.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results and discussion contain exposure to the results of the analysis related to the research question. Every research result must be discussed. The discussion contains the meaning of the results and comparisons with similar theories and results. The length of the exposure and discussion results is 40-60% of the length of the article.
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# CONCLUSION

The Conclusion section contains research findings in the form of answers to research questions or the form of essences from the results of the discussion. Conclusions are presented in the form of paragraphs of at least 2/3 pages.

# REFERENCES

The referencelistonlycontainsthereferencedsource, andallsourcesreferencedmustbelisted in thereferencelist. Referencesources are atleast 30 with 80% ofjournalspublished in thelastfiveyearsand 20% ofbooks, magazinesornewspaperspublished in thelasttenyears. References are written in the American PsychologicalAssociation (APA) 6th format. Itisrecommendedtouse a librarymanagementapplicationsuch as Mendeley, Zotero, and Endnote.

Petro-Nustas, W., Tsangari, H., Phellas, C., & Constantinou, C. (2013). Health beliefs and practice of breast self-examination among young Cypriot women. Journal of Transcultural-Nursing,-24(2),-180–188. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043659612472201

Umeh, K., & Jones, L. (2010). Mutually dependent health beliefs associated with breast self-examination in British female university students. *Journal of American College Health*, 59 (2), 126-131.

Abolfotouh, M. A., Banimustafa, A. A., Mahfouz, A. A., Al-Assiri, M. H., Al-Juhani, A. F., & Alaskar, A. S. (2015). Using the health belief model to predict breast self-examination among Saudi women. BMC Public Health , 15 (10.1186/s12889-015-2510-y), 1163.

Ahmadian, M., Carmack, S., Samah, A. A., Kreps, G., & Saidu, M. B. (2016). Psychosocial predictors of breast self-examination among female students in Malaysia: A Study to Assess the Roles of Body Image, Self-efficacy, and Perceived Barriers. APJCP, 17 (3), 1277-1284.

American Cancer Society. (2016). Cancer facts & figures, 2016. Retrieved from www.cancer.org

Brain, N. P. (2005). An application fo extended HBM to the prediction of BSE among women with a family history of BC. BJ.

Kratzke, C., Vilchis, H., & Amatya, A. (2013). Breast cancer prevention knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors among college women and mother-daughter communication. *J Community Health, 38*. doi 10.1007/s10900-01309651-7), 560-568.

Lancet. (2002). Breast cancer and breastfeeding: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 47 epidemiological studies in 30 countries, including 50302 women with breast cancer and 96973 women without the disease. ACP J Club, 360(9328):187-95.

Noroozi, A., Jomand, T., & Tahmasebi, R. (2010). Determinants of breast self-examination performance among Iranian women: An application of the health belief model. *J Canc Educ*, 1-10.